



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/701,154

11/03/2003

Massimiliano Antonio Poletto

12221-014001

5561

26161 7590 08/06/2008

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

MEHRMANESH, ELMIRA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2113

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/701,154	Applicant(s) POLETTI ET AL.	
	Examiner Elmira Mehrmanesh	Art Unit 2113	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27 and 33-36 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18-22 and 28-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to an amendment filed on May 6, 2008 for the application of Poletto et al., for a "Connection based anomaly detection" filed November 3, 2003.

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 6, 2008 has been considered by the examiner.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18-36 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 14, and 23 have been amended.

Claims 4, 6, and 17 have been cancelled.

Claims 28-36 have been added.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18-22, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 USC § 102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18-22, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Ontiveros et al. (U.S. PGPub 20020107953).

As per claim 1, Ontiveros discloses a system, comprising:

a plurality of collector devices that are disposed to collect connection information to identify host connection pairs from packets that are sent between nodes on a network (paragraph [0024])

an aggregator device that receives the connection information from the plurality of collector devices (paragraph [0037]), and which produces a connection table that maps each node on the network to a record that stores information about packet traffic to the node and traffic from the node (paragraph [0040]), with the aggregator device further comprising:

a process executed on the aggregator device to detect anomalies in connection patterns (paragraphs [0008] and [0024])

a process executed on the aggregator device to aggregate detected anomalies into the network events (paragraph [0026], Anomaly Detection System) with the anomalies that are detected including denial of service attack anomalies and scanning attack anomalies (paragraphs [0003] and [0024]).

As per claim 2, Ontiveros discloses the aggregator determines at least in part from the connection patterns derived from the connection table occurrences of network events (paragraph [0008], Intrusion Detection System).

As per claim 3, Ontiveros discloses the aggregator further comprises: a process that collect statistical information on packets that are sent between nodes on a network and which sends the statistical information to the aggregator (paragraph [0037]).

As per claim 5, Ontiveros discloses the collector devices have a passive link to devices in the network (Fig. 1).

As per claim 7, Ontiveros discloses the anomalies include unauthorized access and worm propagation (paragraphs [0003] and [0024]).

As per claims 8, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by source address (paragraphs [0040] and [0044]).

As per claim 9, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by destination address (paragraphs [0040] and [0045]).

As per claim 10, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by time (paragraphs [0040] and [0049]).

As per claim 11, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by source address, destination address and time (paragraphs [0040]-[0049]).

As per claim 12, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of connection sub-tables to track data at different time scales (paragraph [0042]).

As per claim 13, Ontiveros discloses the connection sub-tables include a time-slice connection table that operates on a small unit of time and at least one other sub-table that operates on a larger unit of time than the time slice sub-table with each sub-table holding the sum of records received from all collectors during respective units of time (paragraphs [0042]-[0049]).

As per claim 14, Ontiveros discloses a method, comprises:

sending connection information to an aggregator to identify host connection pairs collected from a plurality of collector devices (paragraph [0024])

producing in the aggregator a connection table that maps each node on the network to a record that stores information about traffic to the node and traffic from the node (paragraph [0040]), with the connection table including a plurality of entries that are indexed by source address (paragraphs [0040] and [0044]).

As per claim 15, Ontiveros discloses collecting statistical information in the collector devices to send to the aggregator device (paragraph [0024]).

As per claim 16, Ontiveros discloses determining from the connection information and the statistical information occurrences of network anomalies (paragraphs [0008] and [0024]); and aggregating anomalies into network events (paragraph [0026]) that indicate potential network intrusions (paragraph [0008], Intrusion Detection System) and

communicating occurrences of network events to an operator (paragraph [0057], system administrator).

As per claim 18, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by destination address (paragraphs [0042] and [0045]).

As per claim 19, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by time (paragraphs [0040] and [0049]).

As per claim 20, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by source address, destination address and time (paragraphs [0040]-[0049]).

As per claim 21, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of connection sub-tables to track data at different time scales (paragraph [0042]).

As per claim 22, Ontiveros discloses the connection sub-tables include a time-slice connection table that operates on a small unit of time and at least one other sub-table that operates on a larger unit of time than the time slice sub-table with each sub-table holding the sum of records received from all collectors during respective units of time (paragraphs [0042]-[0049]).

As per claim 28, Ontiveros discloses a storage medium storing a computer program product, the computer program product comprising instructions for causing a computer to:

collect connection information to identify host connection pairs from packets that are sent between nodes on a network and produce a connection table that maps each node on the network to a record that stores information about packet traffic to the node and traffic from the node (paragraph [0037]);

detect anomalies in connection patterns (paragraphs [0008] and [0024]);

and aggregate detected anomalies into the network events (paragraph [0026], Anomaly Detection System) with the anomalies that are detected including denial of service attack anomalies and scanning attack anomalies (paragraphs [0003] and [0024]).

As per claim 29, Ontiveros discloses instructions to determine at least in part from connection patterns derived from the connection table occurrences of network events that indicate potential network intrusions (paragraph [0008], Intrusion Detection System).

As per claim 30, Ontiveros discloses instructions to collect statistical information on packets that are sent between nodes on a network (paragraph [0037]).

As per claim 31, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of records that are indexed by source address, destination address and time (paragraphs [0040]-[0049]).

As per claim 32, Ontiveros discloses the connection table includes a plurality of connection sub-tables to track data at different time scales, the connection sub-tables include a time-slice connection table that operates on a small unit of time and at least one other sub-table that operates on a larger unit of time than the time slice sub-table with each sub-table holding the sum of records received from all collectors during respective units of time (paragraphs [0040]-[0049]).

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23, 24 and newly added claims 33-36 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous 102(b) rejection of claims 23 and 24 has been withdrawn.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding claims 23-24, and 33-34 after a complete search of all the relevant prior art the examiner has determined the claims are in condition for allowance. The following limitations when viewed in combination with the remainder of the claim as a whole place this application in condition for allowance.

As per claims 23 and 33, the Examiner finds the novel and non obvious feature of claim, when read as whole to be detecting a new host connecting to a network comprises receiving statistics collected from a host in the network and indicating to a console that the host is a new host if, during a period of time T, the host transmits at

least N packets and receives at least N packets, and if the host had never transmitted and received more than N packets in any previous period of time with a duration of T.

As per claims 24 and 34, the Examiner finds the novel and non obvious feature of claim, when read as whole to be detecting a failed host in a network comprises determining if both a mean historical rate of server response packets from a host is greater than M, and a ratio of a standard deviation of historical rate of server response packets from the host to a mean profiled rate of server response packets from the host is less than R over a period of time; and indicating the host as a potential failed host if both conditions are present.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed May 6, 2008, with respect to claims 23-27, and newly added claims 33-36 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the above claims has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 1, and 14 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claims 1, and 14, in response to applicant's arguments that Ontiveros fails to disclose a connection table that maps each node of a network to a record that stores

information about packet traffic to or from the node, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out to paragraph [0037] wherein Ontiveros discloses "...the preferred packet daemon creates memory references to each packet source Media Access Control (MAC) address in a hash table, wherein keys (which are the part or group of the data by which it is sorted, indexed and cataloged), are mapped to array positions."

Applicant further argues that Ontiveros fails to disclose a process executed on the aggregator device to detect anomalies in connection patterns. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out to paragraphs [0043] through [0050], wherein Ontiveros discloses sorting data by Source Address, Destination Address, and Source Destination Address...Using these primary data types, the present invention can sort data type attacks and protocol types to identify new patterns, as well as catalog usage patterns and usage profiles. Using the keys, **a hash table can be created to monitor for and determine data attack types** depending upon the particular security needs of the network. Monitoring source and destination address (i.e. host to host connections) and identifying certain patterns reads on the claimed limitation.

Applicant further argues that Ontiveros fails to disclose a process executed on the aggregator device to aggregate detected anomalies into the network events. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out to paragraph [0024] wherein Ontiveros discloses monitoring and detecting patterns that are in contrast to normal traffic patterns. Thus detecting events associated with attacks.

As per claims 12 and 13, applicant argues that Ontiveros fails to disclose the connection sub-tables include a time-slice connection table. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out to paragraph [0040]-[0042] wherein Ontiveros discloses user defined time intervals.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elmira Mehrmanesh whose telephone number is (571) 272-5531. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2113

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert W. Beausoliel, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2113